

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/889,130 | 10/09/2001 | Akira Tabuchi | 1511.00002 | 8094 |
| 75 | 90 01/11/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| John S Mortimer | | | LEVY, NEIL S | |
| Wood Phillips VanSanten Clark & Mortimer Suite 3800 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 500 West Madison Street | | | 1615 | |
| Chicago, IL 60661-2511 | | | DATE MAII ED: 01/11/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summers | 09/889,130 | TABUCHI ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | NEIL LEVY | 1615 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a) (a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON | in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Oc | ctober 2005. | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-12</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1,3 and 5-12 are subject to restriction | and/or election requirement. | • | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce | · · | Examiner | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | • | ` ' | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | · · | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | | ed | | | | |
| | or the contined copies flot receive | eu. | | | | |
| A ************************************ | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | A) [] [-4 | · (DTO 442) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Linterview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D | • | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/889,130

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of permethrin in the reply filed on 10/14/05 is acknowledged.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

(D) is stated to be organic; the specification is to inorganic. Please correct. Claims 5, 6 have no antecedent to organic. "such as" is indefinite.

Claim s 1, 3, 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over. Mawatori et al 5614568 and Hozumi et al 5374600.)

Mawatori, of record, has the instant composition but for the pesticide, which in Mawatori is an antimicrobial.

Hozumi also provides recognition of advantages of resin compositions to permit controlled release of pesticides (column 1, line----; column 11, lines 37-64). Polymers include those of Mawatori-polyamides (column 39, line 25); column 41, top). Pesticides able to be included are equally antimicrobials and insecticides, fungicides-copper laurate, organotins, organozinc, carbamates, pyrethroids (column 24, last paragraph, and column 26, lines 23-34.) Fibrous materials include perlite (column 41, line 24).

Thus the pesticides of Hozumi can be used in place of or in addition to those of Mawatori, in either polymeric article. Hozumi provides the rationale for selection of any of the desired actives; it is control of the pest Application/Control Number: 09/889,130

Art Unit: 1615

of concern, and exemplified in suggestions from fish lure to antifouling compositions when pests are in aqueous environs.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made desiring to utilize pest control means, to use any of art recognized means, as of the Mawatori compositions, modified as desired to increase expansion of pesticidal range, stability, dispersibility, compatability of ingredients, processing ease, reduced toxicity to handlers.

All the critical elements of the instant are disclosed. The amounts and proportions of each ingredient are result effective parameters chosen to obtain the desired effects. It would be obvious to vary the form of each ingredient to optimize the effect desired, depending upon the particular species and application method of interest, reduction of toxicity, cost minimization, enhanced, and prolonged, or synergistic effects.

Applicant has not provided any objective evidence of criticality, nonobvious or unexpected results that the administration of the particular ingredients' or concentrations provides any greater or different level of prior art expectation as claimed, and the use of ingredient for the functionality for which they are known to be used is not basis for patentability.

The instant invention provides well known old art recognized compounds, with well known art recognized effects, applied by well known art recognized methods to achieve improved control as is well known in the art.

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant's arguments filed 10/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the cited prior art did not show the instant compositions, particularly as the pesticides now claimed are absent. However, the compositions of Mawatari are applicant's, & able to accept other than the intended pesticides of Mawatari, to wit, some as shown by Hozumi.

The instant permethrin, as elected species of pesticide, is not evident to this examiner as an obvious species of pesticide to incorporate into either the Hozumi or Mawatari compositions, nor would the structurally similar pyrethroid & pyrethrin compounds.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEIL LEVY whose telephone number is 571-272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THURMAN PAGE can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NEIL LEVY
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1615
